

Building and Sustaining a Diverse Faculty: Implications for Faculty Advancement and Reward Systems

UC ADVANCE Roundtable
University of California, Davis
Friday, April 10, 2015

Bibliography

1. Azoulay, P., Graff Zivin, J.S., and Manso, G. NIH Peer Review: Challenges and Avenues for Reform (Working Paper 18116). <http://www.nber.org/papers/w18116>.
2. Berger, Eric. "The Aging of NIH Investigators: Congressman's Provocative Op-Ed Bemoans Lack of Young." *Annals of Emergency Medicine* 65, no. 1 (2015): 17A-A19. [http://www.annemergmed.com/article/S0196-0644\(14\)01506-6/fulltext](http://www.annemergmed.com/article/S0196-0644(14)01506-6/fulltext).
3. Bik HM, Goldstein MC (2013). An Introduction to Social Media for Scientists. *PLoS Biol* 11(4): e1001535. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001535. <http://journals.plos.org/plosbiology/article?id=10.1371/journal.pbio.1001535>.
4. Boudreau, Kevin J., Eva Guinan, Karim R. Lakhani and Christoph Riedl. Looking Across and Looking Beyond the Knowledge Frontier: Intellectual Distance and Resource Allocation in Science (August 11, 2014). <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2478627>.
5. Cain Miller, Claire. "Is the Professor Bossy or Brilliant? Much Depends on Gender." *The New York Times: The Upshot*. February 6, 2015. http://mobile.nytimes.com/2015/02/07/upshot/is-the-professor-bossy-or-brilliant-much-depends-on-gender.html?emc=eta1%26_r=0.
6. DeSantis, Nick. "How Reviews on 'Rate My Professors' Describe Men and Women Differently." *The Chronicle of Higher Education*. Blog. February 9, 2015. <http://chronicle.com/blogs/ticker/how-reviews-on-rate-my-professors-describe-men-and-women-differently/93687>.
7. Fealing, Kaye Husbands, and Samuel L. Myers, Jr. Pathways v. Pipelines to Broadening Participation in the STEM Workforce. 2012. <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2020504>.
8. Gibbs KD Jr, McGready J, Bennett JC, Griffin K (2014) Biomedical Science Ph.D. "Career Interest Patterns by Race/Ethnicity and Gender." *PLoS ONE* 9(12): e114736. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114736. <http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0114736>.
9. Ginther, Donna K., Laurel L. Haak, Raynard Kington, Faye Liu, Beth Masimore, Walter T. Schaffer, and Joshua Schnell. "Race, Ethnicity, and NIH Research Awards." *Science* 333, no. 6045 (2011): 1015–1019. doi:10.1126/science.1196783. <http://www.sciencemag.org/content/333/6045/1015.full>.
10. Hall, Erika V., Joan C. Williams, and Katherine W. Phillips. "Double Jeopardy? Gender Bias Against Women of Color in Science." *Tools for Change*. WorkLife Law. Published online at <http://www.worklifelaw.org>.

11. Janger, J., and Nowotny, K. (2013). Career choices in academia (Working Paper 36, MS64 "Research paper on new university research organisation model", part I). http://www.foreurope.eu/fileadmin/documents/pdf/Workingpapers/WWWforEurope_WPS_no_036_MS64.pdf.
12. Jacobs, Jerry A., and Sarah E. Winslow. "The academic life course, time pressures and gender inequality." *Community, Work & Family* 7, no. 2 (2004): 143-161. doi: 10.1080/1366880042000245443. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1366880042000245443>.
13. Johnson, A. C. (2007), Unintended consequences: How science professors discourage women of color. *Sci. Ed.*, 91: 805–821. doi: 10.1002/sce.20208. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/sce.20208/abstract>.
14. Johnston, Mark. "We Have Met the Enemy, and It Is Us." *Genetics* 194, (2013): 791–792. <http://www.genetics.org/content/194/4/791.full>.
15. Kelly, Thomas, and Kenneth Mariani. "Rescuing US biomedical research: Some comments on Alberts, Kirschner, Tilghman, and Varmus." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111, no. 26 (2014): E2632–E2633. doi 10.1073/pnas.1408267111. www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1408267111.
16. Lee, C. J., Sugimoto, C. R., Zhang, G. and Cronin, B. (2013), Bias in peer review. *J. Am. Soc. Inf. Sci.*, 64: 2–17. doi: 10.1002/asi.22784 <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/asi.22784/full>
17. Li, D. (2012). Information, Bias, and Efficiency in Expert Evaluation: Evidence from the NIH (Working Paper). http://economics.mit.edu/grad/d_li/papers.
18. Luckenbill-Edds, Louise. "The Educational Pipeline for Women in Biology: No Longer Leaking?" *BioScience* 52, no. 6 (2002): 513-521. <http://bioscience.oxfordjournals.org>.
19. Mason, Mary Ann. "In the Ivory Tower, Men Only: For men, having children is a career advantage. For women, it's a career killer." www.slate.com. Blog. June 17, 2013. http://www.slate.com/articles/double_x/doublex/2013/06/female_academics_pay_a_heavy_baby_penalty.html?wpsrc=sh_all_tab_tw_bot.
20. Nassar-McMillan, Sylvia C., Maria Oliver-Hoyo, Jennifer Schneider, and Mary Wyr. "New Tools for Examining Undergraduate Students' STEM Stereotypes: Implications for Women and Other Underrepresented Groups." *New Directions for Institutional Research* 2011, no. 152 (2011): 87-98. doi: 10.1002/ir.411. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ir.411/epdf>.
21. Pister, Karl S., Chairman. Report of the Universitywide Task Force on Faculty Rewards (The Pister Report). 1991. <http://senate.universityofcalifornia.edu/reports/pisterreport1991.pdf>.
22. Sauermann, H., and Stephan, P.E. (2010). Twins or Strangers? Differences and Similarities Between Industrial and Academic Science (Working Paper 16113). <http://www.nber.org/papers/w16113>.
23. Stephan, P.E. (2010). The Economics of Science: Funding for Research (Working Paper 12-2012). <http://www.icer.it/docs/wp2010/ICERwp12-10.pdf>.
24. Stephan, Paula E. "Perverse incentives." *Nature* 484, (2012): 29-31. doi:10.1038/484029a. <http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v484/n7392/full/484029a.html>.
25. Sud, Pardeep, Mike Thelwall. "Evaluating altmetrics." *Scientometrics* 98, issue 2 (2014): 1131-1143. doi: 10.1007/s11192-013-1117-2. <http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11192-013-1117-2#page-1>

26. Towns, Marcy H. "Where Are the Women of Color? Data on African American, Hispanic, and Native American Faculty in STEM." *Journal of College Science Teaching* 39, no. 4 (2010): 6-7.
http://www.oswego.edu/Documents/STEM/8.2f_Towns_Where_Are_WOC.pdf.
27. Varmus, Harold. Special report: (Shattuck Lecture): Biomedical Research Enters the Steady State." *The New England Journal of Medicine* 333, no. 12 (1995): 811-815.
<http://www.cancer.gov/aboutnci/director/speeches/shattuck-lecture.pdf>.
28. Widhall, Sheila E. "Voices from the Pipeline." *Science* 241, no. 4873 (1988): 1740-1745. doi: 10.1126/science.241.4874.1740.
29. Williams, Joan C., Tamina Alon, and Stephanie Bornstein. "Beyond the 'Chilly Climate': Eliminating Bias Against Women and Fathers in Academe." *The NEA Higher Education Journal* (Fall 2006): 79-96.